



National Committee on Uniform Traffic Control Devices

17200 West Bell Road No.1135 * Surprise, Ariz. 85374
Telephone (623) 214-2403 * e-mail: ncutcd@aol.com

1 **NOTE: This is a recommendation by the GMITechnical Committee of the NCUTCD.**
2 **It is being distributed to the National Committee sponsoring organizations for**
3 **review and is subject to revision. This draft recommendation is not a revision to**
4 **the MUTCD and does not constitute official standards, guidance, or options. No**
5 **proposed revision to the MUTCD is effective unless and until approved by FHWA**
6 **through an Interim Approval or through the Federal rulemaking process.**

7
8 **TECHNICAL COMMITTEE:** Guide/Motorist Information (GMI)

9
10 **TOPIC:** Jurisdictional Boundary Signs

11
12 **STATUS/DATE OF ACTION:**

13 **TC Drafts:** 06/25/2014

14 **TC Approval:** 06/25/2014

15 **Transmitted to Sponsors:** 00/00/0000

16 **Council Approval:** 06/26/2014

17
18 **ORIGIN OF REQUEST:** Meg Moore

19
20 **AFFECTED SECTIONS OF MUTCD:** FHWA, 2016 MUTCD Concept Only – Limited
21 Distribution for GMI Review, Section 2AW.05,
22 page 3 of 3, Lines 7 thru 24 (insert to Chapter
23 2H)

24
25 **SUMMARY:**

26 The FHWA Concept adds language regarding jurisdictional boundary signs. This
27 modification is revising the proposed FHWA language.

28
29 **DISCUSSION**

30 FHWA's 2016 MUTCD Chapter 2H Concept (Chapter 2AW) proposed to add Section
31 2AW.05 which includes Guidance, Standards and Option. GMI is proposing
32 modification in text to the 2AW.05 Standard in the Concept for the 2016 MUTCD and is
33 removing the Standard requirement to include ENTERING and STATELINE text on the
34 Jurisdictional Boundary Sign and making it an Option. It is removing the Standard not
35 allowing population and making it an Option on conventional and low volume roadways.

36
37 **RECOMMENDED MUTCD PROVISIONS/ REVISIONS**

38
39 Add the 2AW.05 regarding Jurisdictional sign with the revised Standard and Option.

40
 41 **Note:** FHWA proposed changes to the MUTCD are shown with text additions in
 42 underline blue and deletions in ~~strikeout red~~. Proposed changes to FHWA Concept are
 43 shown with text additions in underline green.

44 Section 2A.W.05 Jurisdictional Boundary (I2-1) Signs

45 Guidance Option:

46 ~~If used, †~~ The Jurisdictional Boundary (I2-1) sign ~~should~~ may be placed ~~located at or~~ in the general vicinity
 47 of as near as practicable to the jurisdictional boundary without interfering with higher priority traffic
 48 control devices.

49 Guidance

50 Notices of statutes or local ordinances should be located separately using regulatory signs (see Chapter
 51 2B).

52 Standard:

53 ~~The Jurisdictional Boundary sign shall be rectangular in shape and shall have a white legend on~~
 54 ~~agreen background. The sign shall display only the name of the State, county, municipality, or~~
 55 ~~other identifiable community, and an appropriate legend such as ENTERING or STATE LINE.~~
 56 Additional information, such as population, elevation, date of incorporation, names of elected
 57 officials, or notable accomplishments or claims, shall not be displayed on a Jurisdictional Boundary
 58 sign.

59 Option:

60 A pictograph representing the jurisdiction and an appropriate legend such as ENTERING or STATE
 61 LINE may be displayed on the Jurisdictional Boundary sign. ~~The population and elevation may be~~
 62 displayed on Jurisdictional Boundary sign.

63 Standard:

64 If a pictograph is displayed on the Jurisdictional Boundary sign, it shall be the official seal of the
 65 jurisdiction and shall comply with the provisions of Section 2A.06. The pictograph shall be placed
 66 to the left of the legend. The height of the pictograph shall not exceed two times the height of the
 67 initial upper case letter of the principal legend.

68 **TECHNICAL COMMITTEE (6/25/2014) VOTE:**

69 For: 19
 70 Opposed: 1
 71 Abstentions: 0

72
 73
 74
 75
 76
 77
 78
 79