

National Committee on Uniform Traffic Control Devices

17200 West Bell Road No.1135 * Surprise, Ariz. 85374 Telephone (623) 214-2403 * e-mail: ncutcd@aol.com

ATTACHMENT NO. 8 Markings No. 2

TECHNICAL COMMITTEE: Markings

TOPIC: Changing Shall Language

STATUS: Approved by Markings, January 2012

Distributed as sponsor ballot, Spring 2012 Appvd by Markings Committee June 2012 Appvd by NCUTCD Council June 22, 2012

ORIGIN OF REQUEST: NCUTCD request

AFFECTED PORTIONS OF MUTCD: Chapter 3B

Summary:

The NCUTCD requested technical committee to review language in their respective portions of the MUTCD and identify Standard statements that would be more appropriately worded as a Guidance or Option statement. The MTC reviewed the language over the course of several meetings. No changes are proposed for Chapter 3A or 3C. This ballot presents recommendations for changes in shall language for Chapter 3B.

Recommended Changes to the MUTCD:

The proposed changes to Section 3B.04 are shown in the following pages. <u>Additions</u> are indicated by blue underline, <u>deletions</u> are indicated by red double strikethrough. Explanations on why changes in the language are recommended are presented in brackets immediately after the section title and highlighted in [vellow].

CHAPTER 3B. PAVEMENT AND CURB MARKINGS

Section 3B.02 No-Passing Zone Pavement Markings and Warrants

[Language in lines 18-23 moved from standard to support as the content does not include a shall statement]

Standard:

No-passing zones shall be marked by either the one direction no-passing zone pavement markings or the two-direction no-passing zone pavement markings described in Section 3B.01 and shown in Figures 3B-1 and 3B-3.

When center line markings are used, no-passing zone markings shall be used on two-way roadways at lane-reduction transitions (see Section 3B.09) and on approaches to obstructions that must be passed on the right (see Section 3B.10).

On two-way, two- or three-lane roadways where center line markings are installed, no-passing zones shall be established at vertical and horizontal curves and other locations where an engineering study indicates that passing must be prohibited because of inadequate sight distances or other special conditions.

On roadways with center line markings, no-passing zone markings shall be used at horizontal or vertical curves where the passing sight distance is less than the minimum shown in Table 3B-1 for the 85th-percentile speed or the posted or statutory speed limit. The passing sight distance on a vertical curve is the distance at which an object 3.5 feet above the pavement surface can be seen from a point 3.5 feet above the pavement (see Figure 3B-4). Similarly, the passing sight distance on a horizontal curve is the distance measured along the center line (or right-hand lane line of a three-lane roadway) between two points 3.5 feet above the pavement on a line tangent to the embankment or other obstruction that cuts off the view on the inside of the curve (see Figure 3B-4).

Support:

The passing sight distance on a vertical curve is the distance at which an object 3.5 feet above the pavement surface can be seen from a point 3.5 feet above the pavement (see Figure 3B-4). Similarly, the passing sight distance on a horizontal curve is the distance measured along the center line (or right-hand lane line of a three-lane roadway) between two points 3.5 feet above the pavement on a line tangent to the embankment or other obstruction that cuts off the view on the inside of the curve (see Figure 3B-4).

The upstream end of a no-passing zone at point "a" in Figure 3B-4 is that point where the sight distance first becomes less than that specified in Table 3B-1. The downstream end of the no-passing zone at point "b" in Figure 3B-4 is that point at which the sight distance again becomes greater than the minimum specified.

The values of the minimum passing sight distances that are shown in Table 3B-1 are for operational use in marking no-passing zones and are less than the values that are suggested for geometric design by the AASHTO Policy on Geometric Design of Streets and Highways (see Section 1A.11).

Guidance:

Where the distance between successive no-passing zones is less than 400 feet, no-passing markings should connect the zones.

Standard:

Where center line markings are used, no-passing zone markings shall be used on approaches to grade crossings in compliance with Section 8B.27.

43 Option:

- In addition to pavement markings, no-passing zone signs (see Sections 2B.28, 2B.29, and 2C.45) may be used to emphasize the existence and extent of a no-passing zone.
- 46 Support:

Section 11-307 of the "Uniform Vehicle Code (UVC)" contains further information regarding required road user behavior in no-passing zones. The UVC can be obtained from the National Committee on Uniform Traffic Laws and Ordinances at the address shown on Page i.

Standard:

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

66

67 68

70

71

72

73

74

75

77

78

79

80

81

82 83

84

86

On three-lane roadways where the direction of travel in the center lane transitions from one direction to the other, a no-passing buffer zone shall be provided in the center lane as shown in Figure 3B-5. A lane-reduction transition (see Section 3B.09) shall be provided at each end of the buffer zone.

The buffer zone shall be a flush median island formed by two sets of double yellow center line markings that is at least 50 feet in length.

Option:

Yellow diagonal crosshatch markings (see Section 3B.24) may be placed in the flush median area between the two sets of no-passing zone markings as shown in Figure 3B-5.

Guidance:

For three-lane roadways having a posted or statutory speed limit of 45 mph or greater, the lane transition taper length should be computed by the formula L = WS. For roadways where the posted or statutory speed limit is less than 45 mph, the formula $L = WS^2/60$ should be used to compute the taper length.

65 Support:

Under both formulas, L equals the taper length in feet, W equals the width of the center lane or offset distance in feet, and S equals the 85th-percentile speed or the posted or statutory speed limit, whichever is higher.

69 Guidance:

The minimum lane transition taper length should be 100 feet in urban areas and 200 feet in rural areas.

Section 3B.06 Edge Line Pavement Markings

[Changed edge line requirement from shall to should because otherwise, an edge line could not be continued through an intersection at the top of a T intersection. An exception specifically allows the use of edge lines when there is no intersecting approach.]

76 Standard:

- 1 If used, edge line pavement markings shall delineate the right or left edges of a roadway.
- **2** Except for dotted edge line extensions (see Section 3B.08), edge line markings shall not be continued through intersections or major driveways.
- If used on the roadways of divided highways or one-way streets, or on any ramp in the direction of travel, left edge line pavement markings shall consist of a normal solid yellow line to delineate the left-hand edge of a roadway or to indicate driving or passing restrictions left of these markings.
- 4 If used, right edge line pavement markings shall consist of a normal solid white line to delineate the right-hand edge of the roadway.

85 Guidance:

- 5 Edge line markings should not be broken for minor driveways.
- Edge line markings should not be continued through intersections or major driveways, except for the following situations:
- 89 a. Dotted edge line extensions (see Section 3B.08), or
- b. Through that part of an intersection with no intersection approach (such as at the top of a T-intersection).

- 92 Support:
- 93 6 Edge line markings have unique value as visual references to guide road users during adverse weather
- and visibility conditions.
- 95 Option:
- 96 7 Wide solid edge line markings may be used for greater emphasis.
- 97 Section 3B.08 Extensions Through Intersections or Interchanges
- [Lines 103-109: Requirement to use a line that is at least as wide as the line extended is changed to a
- recommendation. Line 122: language modified to eliminate the use of "required" in a Guidance
- statement. Lines 125-126, 128-130: Language change to match recommended language changes in
- 101 Section 3B.06.]
- 102 Standard:
- 103 <u>Except as provided in Paragraph 2, pPavement markings extended into or continued through</u>
 104 an intersection or interchange area shall be the same color and at least the same width as the line
- markings they extend (see Figure 3B-13).
- 106 Guidance:
- 107 <u>1a</u> Except as provided in Paragraph 2, pavement markings extended into or continued through an
- intersection or interchange area should be at least the same width as the line markings they extend (see
- 109 Figure 3B-13).
- 110 Option:
- 111 2 A normal line may be used to extend a wide line through an intersection.
- 112 Guidance.
- Where highway design or reduced visibility conditions make it desirable to provide control or to
- guide vehicles through an intersection or interchange, such as at offset, skewed, complex, or multi-legged
- intersections, on curved roadways, where multiple turn lanes are used, or where offset left turn lanes
- might cause driver confusion, dotted line extension markings consisting of 2-foot line segments and 2- to
- 6-foot gaps should be used to extend longitudinal line markings through an intersection or interchange
- 118 *area*.
- 119 Option:
- 120 4 Dotted edge line extensions may be placed through intersections or major driveways.
- 121 Guidance:
- Where greater restriction is desired required, solid lane lines or channelizing lines should be
- extended into or continued through intersections or major driveways.
- 124 Standard:
- 125 6 Solid lines shall not be used to extend edge lines into or through intersections or major
- 126 driveways.
- 127 Guidance:
- 128 Solid lines should not be used to extend edge lines into or through intersections or major driveways,
- except through that part of an intersection with no intersecting approach (such as at the top of a T-
- 130 *intersection*).
- Where a double line is extended through an intersection, a single line of equal width to one of the
- lines of the double line should be used.
- 133 8 To the extent possible practical, pavement marking extensions through intersections should be
- designed in a manner that minimizes potential confusion for drivers in adjacent or opposing lanes.

136 Section 3B.15 <u>Transverse Markings</u>

- 137 Requirement that these markings be white is addressed in other sections.
- 138 Standard:
- Transverse markings, which include shoulder markings, word and symbol markings, arrows, stop lines, yield lines, crosswalk lines, speed measurement markings, speed reduction markings,
- speed hump markings, parking space markings, and others, shall be white unless otherwise
- 142 provided in this Manual.
- 143 *Guidance:*
- Because of the low approach angle at which pavement markings are viewed, transverse lines should be proportioned to provide visibility at least equal to that of longitudinal lines.

146 Section 3B.21 Speed Measurement Markings

- 147 [Lines 153-156: portion of Standard statement moved to Guidance. Discussion among MTC members
- indicate that some agencies use marking symbols that are larger than 24 inches.
- 149 Support:
- A speed measurement marking is a transverse marking placed on the roadway to assist the enforcement of speed regulations.
- 152 Standard:
- Speed measurement markings, if used, shall be white, and shall not be greater than 24 inches in width.
- 155 Guidance:
- Speed measurement markings, if used, should not be greater than 24 inches in width.
- 157 Option
- Speed measurement markings may extend 24 inches on either side of the center line or 24 inches on either side of edge line markings at 1/4-mile intervals over a 1-mile length of roadway. When paved shoulders of sufficient width are available, the speed measurement markings may be placed entirely on these shoulders (see Drawing A of Figure 3B-10). Advisory signs may be used in conjunction with these markings.
- 163 Section 3B.22 Speed Reduction Markings
- 164 Lines 180-187: requirement that markings be progressively reduced changes to recommendation. Line
- 165 189: language revised to change statement from negative to positive.]
- 166 Support:

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

Speed reduction markings (see Figure 3B-28) are transverse markings that are placed on the roadway within a lane (along both edges of the lane) in a pattern of progressively reduced spacing to give drivers the impression that their speed is increasing. These markings might be placed in advance of an unexpectedly severe horizontal or vertical curve or other roadway feature where drivers need to decelerate prior to reaching the feature and where the desired reduction in speeds has not been achieved by the installation of warning signs and/or other traffic control devices.

Guidance:

If used, speed reduction markings should be reserved for unexpected curves and should not be used on long tangent sections of roadway or in areas frequented mainly by local or familiar drivers, (e.g., school zones). If used, speed reduction markings should supplement the appropriate warning signs and other traffic control devices and should not substitute for these devices.

- 178 **Standard:**
- If used, speed reduction markings shall be a series of white transverse lines on both sides of the lane that are perpendicular to the center line, edge line, or lane line. The longitudinal spacing

- between the markings shall be progressively reduced from the upstream to the downstream end of the marked portion of the lane.
 Guidance:
 Speed reduction markings should not be greater than 12 inches in width, and should not extend more than 18 inches into the lane.
 The longitudinal spacing between the markings should be progressively reduced from the upstream to the downstream end of the marked portion of the lane.
- 188 Standard:
- Speed reduction markings shall not be used only in lanes that do not have a longitudinal line (center line, edge line, or lane line) on both sides of the lane.
- Council Vote on 7/22/12: Unanimous approval on changes to Sections 3B.02, 3B.06, 3B.08, and 3B.15. Approval (32-1-1) for changes to Section 3B.21. Approval (31-2-1) for changes to Section 3B.22.