

National Committee on Uniform Traffic Control Devices

17200 West Bell Road No.1135 * Surprise, Ariz. 85374 Telephone (623) 214-2403 * e-mail: ncutcd@aol.com

Section 2A.19 Lateral Offset and Section

2A.21 Posts and Mountings.

1 **RWSTC June 2012 RW # 1** 2 3 **TECHNICAL COMMITTEE:** Regulatory/Warning Sign Subcommittee 4 5 TOPIC: Signs Placed on Existing Supports 6 7 STATUS/DATE OF ACTION: 8 TC Drafts 01/27/2011, 02/01/11, 02/02/11, 07/28/11, 9 05/14/12 10 01/18/2012 TC Approval: 11 **Transmitted to Sponsors:** Spring 2012 12 **RWSTC Approval** 6-20-12 13 following sponsor comments 14 **Council Approval:** 6-22-12 15 16 ORIGIN OF REQUEST: Task Force: James Pline(Chair), Ibarguen, Heydel, Paddick, Ranck

17 18 19

20 21 22

23

24

25 26

27

28

29

30

31

32

SUMMARY:

The 2009 MUTCD makes provision that signs may be placed on existing supports as follows;

Section 2A.19. Lateral Offset.

AFFECTED SECTIONS OF MUTCD:

Option:

Where permitted, signs may be placed on existing supports used for other purposes, such as highway traffic signal supports, highway lighting supports, and utility poles.

Standard:

of the original orig

33 34 35

36

37 38

39

This requirement raises a question that if the sign is installed on an existing support then that support shall meet other placement criteria in the Manual meaning that the support shall be crashworthy (breakaway, yielding or shielded with a longitudinal barrier or crash cushion) if within the clear zone. The Manual should not be the document that upgrades existing supports, ie. Signal, lighting and utility poles, to a crashworthy

nstallation.

RESEARCH:

Research of the previous editions of the Manual found that the 2000 MUTCD added the following statement in Section 2A.19. <u>Lateral Offset:</u>

Standard:

Roadside-mounted sign supports shall be breakaway, yielding, or shielded with a longitudinal barrier or crash cushion if within the clear zone.

This provision was in the proposed rulemaking and received no response to the proposed change. It is assumed that paragraph "08" was added at the same time under the same rulemaking since it initially appears in the 2000 MUTCD and is repeated verbatim in both the 2003 and 2009 Editions.

The Manual has recognized that signs can be placed on existing supports starting with the 1935 Edition and repeated in the 1948, 1961, 1972 and 1978 Editions. The wording in the 1978 Manual reads as follows;

"In some cases, especially in urban districts, signs can be correctly placed on existing supports used for other purposes, such as traffic signals, street lights, and public utility poles where permitted, thereby saving expense and minimizing sidewalk obstructions."

DISCUSSION:

There is no argument that any sign installation made under the provisions of the Manual shall include posts and mountings that meet the AASHTO "Specifications for Structural Supports for Highway Signs, Luminaires, and Traffic Signals", Section 2A.21. However, when a sign is placed on an existing support it is not intended that the support be upgraded to those same requirements. The need to upgrade any existing supports along the roadway comes under the preview of the above specifications and the Roadside Design Guide. The Manual's purpose is to address the installation of traffic control devices not the crashworthiness of existing supports along the roadway.

The Standard statement is not needed since it has nothing to do with the lateral offset of the sign installation and serves no purpose since the location is prescribed under other Manual provisions. Additionally, the Option statement permitting use of existing supports appears more appropriate in either Section 2A.16. <u>Standardization of Location</u> or Section 2A.21 <u>Posts and Mountings.</u>

The Standard statement could cause an undue burden on a jurisdiction requiring them to upgrade the supporting pole to crashworthy criteria. It has been a long standing consideration(Since 1935) that when the personnel make a field installation in the appropriate location if a support pole exists at the location then they can install the sign on that existing support pole rather than have a separate post next the existing support pole.

This Standard statement provides the inference that the existing support shall be upgraded to meet the other Manual criteria that includes crashworthiness of the support

pole. This could open the door for a legal interpretation that an agency was not in 85 86 conformance with the MUTCD if they failed to upgrade an existing support. 87 88 **RECOMMENDED MUTCD PROVISIONS/ REVISIONS:** 89 Note: Proposed changes to the MUTCD are shown in Underlined red and removed text are 90 shown in strike through Red. 91 92 Delete paragraph (07) and (08) in Section 2A.19 as noted in RED. 93 94 **Section 2A.19 Lateral Offset** 95 Option: 96 Where permitted, sign may be placed on existing supports used for other purposes, such 97 as highway traffic signal supports, highway lighting supports, and utility poles. 98 **Standard:** 99 08 If signs are placed on existing supports, they shall meet other placement criteria 100 contained in this Manual. 101 102 103 Add paragraph (03) and (04) in Section 2A.21 as noted in Red 104 105 **Section 2A.21 Post and Mountings** 106 **Standard:** 107 Sign posts, foundations, and mountings shall be so constructed as to hold signs in a 108 proper and permanent position, and to resist swaving in the wind or displacement by 109 vandalism. 110 Support: 111 02 The latest edition of AASHTO's "Specifications for Structural Supports for Highway Signs, 112 Luminaires, and Traffic Signals" contains additional information regarding posts and mountings 113 (see Page i for AASHTO address). 114 Option: 115 Where permitted, signs may be placed on existing supports used for other purposes, such 116 as highway traffic signal supports, highway lighting supports, and utility poles. 117 Support: 118 See lateral and height placement criteria for Guidance and Standards contained in this (04)119 Manual, for signs that are placed on existing supports. 120 121 **RWSTC VOTE 6-20-12** 122 **FOR: Unanimous** 123 **AGAINST:** 124 **ABSTENTIONS:** 125 126 **COUNCIL VOTE:** For: Unamious 127 **Against:**

Abstentions:

128

129

ATTACHMENT NO.5 RW Signs No.1

130	N:NCUTCD/june 2012/RW #1 Sections 2A.19 and 2A.20 lateral offsets - signs on existing
131	supports 6-20-12 Revised following sponsor comments APPROVED BY COUNCIL
132	6-22-12
133	
134	