

National Committee on Uniform Traffic Control Devices

17200 West Bell Road No.1135 * Surprise, Ariz. 85374 Telephone (623) 214-2403 * e-mail: ncutcd@aol.com

2	
3	Attachment No. 2
4	
5	RW Item No. 3
6	
7	
8	
9	National Committee on Uniform Traffic Control Devices
10	RWSTC RECOMMENDATION
11	REVISIONS FOLLOWING SPONSOR COMMENTS
12	
13	TECHNICAL COMMITTEE: NCUTCD Regulatory/Warning Signs Technical
14	Committee
15	
16	DATE OF ACTION: (TASK FORCE) 11-30-08, revised 12-1-08, revised 5-24-09,
17	revised 6-5-09
18	RWSTC APPROVAL DATE: 1-7-09, approved by Bicycle Technical Committee 1-
19	7-09
20	RWSTC APPROVAL FOLLOWING SPONSOR COMMENTS: 6-18-09
21	TRANSMITTAL TO SPONSORS DATE: March 2, 2009
22	COUNCIL APPROVAL DATE: 6-19-09
23	TODIC: C4
24	TOPIC: Synthesis of Signs, No Snowmobiles, SSR-25
25 26	AFFECTED PORTIONS OF MUTCD: Section 2B.46 (NPA section) or Section
26	2B.36 (2003 MUTCD), Figure 2B-14 (NPA Figure) or Figure 2B-9 (2003 MUTCD),
27 28	and Table 2B-1.
28 29	and Table 2D-1.
29 30	DISCUSSION:
30 31	The Synthesis of Non-MUTCD Signing (by FHWA) identified seventy-four signs or
32	categories of signs that are not in the MUTCD but were in common use by various States,
33	local governments and Canada. The Synthesis of Non-MUTCD Signing recommended
55	iocal governments and Canada. The Synthesis of Non-Motor CD Signing recommended

that these signs be considered for inclusion in the MUTCD. Forty two (42) of these signs were Regulatory Signs. The Regulatory and Warning Sign Technical Committee (RWSTC) reviewed 10 of these signs in June 2006 and 10 in January 2007. The remaining 22 signs were reviewed by RWSTC for merit at the June 2007 meeting; and of these, the task force was charged with providing new language to the MUTCD for 6 of the 22 for consideration by RWSTC at the January 2008 meeting. In June 2008, RWSTC voted to consider language for the No Snowmobile Symbol sign.

SSR 25 – NO SNOWMOBILES SYMBOL



 No Snowmobiles Symbol: This symbol sign is widely used by numerous states (10) and numerous cities. They are using a symbolic sign to prohibit the operation of snowmobiles on certain roadways. The symbol is the same as standard snowmobile symbol of the W11-6 warning sign but with a prohibitory red circle and slash. The Canadian MUTCD snowmobile without rider) as a standard (RB-65).

RECOMMENDATION:

Figure 2B-9 (2003 MUTCD) or Figure 2B-14 (NPA) would be modified to include this sign and 2B-36 (2003 MUTCD) or Section 2B.46 (NPA) would be modified to add this option. This is the Selective Exclusion signs section. The sign would be added to Table 2B-1.

The snowmobile warning sign is used to warn drivers of snowmobile crossings or shared use. However, the MUTCD does not contain the regulatory sign needed when snowmobiles are prohibited on the roadway or shared-use paths.

Bicycle Committee approved in Jauuary 2009 to incorporate the sign into Section 9B.11 and add the snowmobile symbol sign to Figure 9B-2.

65 Note: Proposed changes to the MUTCD are shown in underline red and removed 66 text are shown in strikethrough red. 67 68 **RECOMMENDED WORDING:** 69 70 From the 2003 MUTCD 71 **Section 2B.36 Selective Exclusion Signs** 72 Support: 73 Selective Exclusion signs (see Figure 2B-9) give notice to road users that State or local 74 statutes or ordinances exclude designated types of traffic from using particular roadways 75 or facilities. 76 Standard: 77 If used, Selective Exclusion signs shall clearly indicate the type of traffic that is 78 excluded. 79 Support: 80 Typical exclusion messages include: 81 A. No Trucks (R5-2); 82 B. NO MOTOR VEHICLES (R5-3); 83 C. COMMERCIAL VEHICLES EXCLUDED (R5-4); 84 D. TRUCKS (VEHICLES) WITH LUGS PROHIBITED (R5-5); 85 E. No Bicycles (R5-6); 86 F. NON-MOTORIZED TRAFFIC PROHIBITED (R5-7); 87 G. MOTOR-DRIVEN CYCLES PROHIBITED (R5-8); and 88 H. Hazardous Material Prohibited (R14-3) (see Section 2B.52). 89 I. No Snowmobiles (R5-XX) 90 Option: 91 Appropriate combinations or groupings of these legends into a single sign, such as 92 PEDESTRIANS BICYCLES MOTOR-DRIVEN CYCLES PROHIBITED (R5-10a), or 93 PEDESTRIANS AND BICYCLES PROHIBITED (R5-10b) may be used. 94 Guidance: 95 If an exclusion is governed by vehicle weight, a Weight Limit sign (see Section 2B.49) 96 should be used instead of a Selective Exclusion sign. 97 The Selective Exclusion sign should be placed on the right side of the roadway at an 98 appropriate distance from the intersection so as to be clearly visible to all road users 99 turning into the roadway that has the exclusion. The PEDESTRIANS PROHIBITED (R5-100 10c) or No Pedestrian Crossing (R9-3a) sign should be installed so as to be clearly visible 101 to pedestrians at a location where an alternative route is available.

102	Option:	N/C (DE 2-) -:	and the condition of the No. T. of a (DE 2)		
103 104	The NO TRUCKS (R5-2a) sign may be used as an alternate to the No Trucks (R5-2) symbol sign.				
105 106 107 108 109	The PEDESTR also be used a The No Snow	at underpasses o mobiles Symbol s	ED (R5-10c) or No Pedestrian Crossing (R9-3a) sign may relsewhere where pedestrian facilities are not provided. sign (R5-XX) may be used where snowmobiles are biles on certain roadways or shared-use paths.		
110 111	Section 9B-1	1 Shared-Use P	Path Restriction Sign (R9-7)		
112 113	Section 9B-08 (2003 MUTCD) or 9B-09 (NPA) Selective Exclusion Signs				
114	Option: The N	No Snowmobiles S	Symbol sign (R5-XX) (see Figure 9B-2) may be used where		
114 115	snowmobiles are prohibited on shared-use paths.				
116					
117 118					
118 119	Figure 2B-9 (Figure 2B-9 (2003 MUTCD) – Add R5-XX (No Snowmobile Symbol) sign as shown above.			
119 120 121	Table 2B-1 – Add the R5-XX (No Snowmobile Symbol) sign as shown above.				
122	Figure 9B-2	- Add the R5-XX	((No Snowmobile Symbol) sign as shown above.		
123	<u> </u>	7.00.0			
124					
125	R/WS TC to	Sponsors 2009.	_01_07		
126	R/WS TC to Sponsors, 2009-01-07 RWSTC Approval 6-18-09				
127	VOTE:	For:	Unanimous		
	VOIE.		Unanimous		
128		Opposed:			
129		Abstentions:			
130		~			
131	u: ssr-25, No Snowmobiles revisions following sponsor comments 6-18-09				
132					
133	Council Approval – 2009-06-19				
134	VOTE:	For:	Unanimous		